anc.law.ecf@alaska.gov

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

Kelly Tshibaka, in her Official)	
Capacity as Commissioner of the)	
Department of Administration,)	
•)	
Appellant,)	
**)	
v.)	
The Retired Public Employees of)	Supreme Court No. S-17577
Alaska, Inc.,)	
)	
Appellee.)	
Trial Court Case No. 3AN-16-0453	7 CI	

SECOND UPDATED STATEMENT OF POINTS ON APPEAL

Pursuant to this Court's Order dated March 23, 2020 granting Appellant's Second Motion to Amend Points on Appeal, Commissioner Tshibaka provides this complete statement of points on appeal:

- 1. The superior court erred in concluding that the diminishment clause of article XII, section 7 of the Alaska Constitution constrains the State's ability to make changes to its optional dental-visual-audio coverage plan for retirees.
- 2. The superior court erred in finding that changes made by the State in 2014 to its retiree dental plan constituted a diminishment of benefits in violation of article XII, section 7 of the Alaska Constitution.
- 3. The superior court erred by discounting as "biased" the testimony of the State's expert witness—the only actuarial analysis presented by either party—on the basis that the witness was employed by and aligned with the State.

DEPARTMENT OF LAW
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
ANCHORAGE BRANCH
1031 W. FOURTH AVENUE, SUITE 200
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501
PHONE: (907) 269-5100

- 4. The superior court erred by failing to account for the cost of premiums paid by retirees in its assessment of whether the State's dental plan was subject to diminishment clause analysis and/or in fact diminished because of the changes made by the State in 2014.
- 5. The superior court erred by awarding attorney's fees and costs to RPEA as a prevailing party and constitutional litigant.
- 6. The superior court erred and exceeded its authority in granting RPEA additional relief in November 2019, changing the default dental plan for retirees days before scheduled close of open enrollment.
- 7. The superior court erred and exceeded its authority by granting RPEA the right to a "complete retrospective review of claims denied under the 2014 plan that would have been granted had the 2013 plan remained in effect."
- 8. The superior court erred and exceeded its authority in its
 February 19, 2020 order requiring DRB to perform a retrospective claims analysis for
 the purpose of awarding non-party retirees damages that RPEA did not request in the
 Complaint, did not request be included in the judgment, and that could not be awarded
 to RPEA in any event even if they had been pled and litigated before final judgment.

DATED June 18, 2020.

KEVIN G. CLARKSON ATTORNEY GENERAL

By: /s/ Katherine Demarest

Katherine Demarest

Assistant Attorney General
Alaska Bar No. 1011074

Tshibaka v. RPEA
Second Updated Statement of Points on Appeal

Case No. S-17577 Page 2 of 2

MEN I OF LAW
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RAGE BRANCH
H AVENUE, SUITE 200
BE, ALASKA 99501

anc.law.ecf@alaska.gov

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

Kelly Tshibaka, in her Official)
Capacity as Commissioner of the)
Department of Administration,)
-)
Appellant,)
, -)
V.)
The Retired Public Employees of Alaska, Inc.,) Supreme Court No. S-17577
Appellee.) _) GV
Trial Count Coso No. 2 A N 16 04527	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify, that on this date, a true and correct copy of the Second Updated

Statement of Points on Appeal and this Certificate of Service were served via email
on the following:

Susan Orlansky Reeves Amodio LLC susano@reevesamodio.com

Virginia Bozeman

Byrnan 4/10/20 Date

Litigation Assistant